Review of Performance Audit Report

Name of the Institution :	R.V. Co	llege of Engin	eeri	ng, Bengaluru	Sub- component	:	
Name of Performance Auditor of the institution			:	PROF. VIKRAM M. GADRE			
Name of Data Auditor of the institution			:	PROF.H.JAYAPRAKASHA			
CRITERIA	Rating	Comments to assist NPIU in handling the report. ¹					
	(A, B, or C)						
i. Completeness	A	Yes. A very comprehensive report containing all the information with evidences. Auditor has done a great job					
ii. Consistency and relevance	A	Yes. Very consistent covering all important data. Auditor assess the needs of performance audit so well					
iii. Details and specificity	A	Yes. All details have been provided. The report is also supported with data audit report.					
iv. Meticulousness	A	Very perfect report.					
v. Feedback clarity	A	Yes					
Overall rating for the report	A	Excellent report. Must be shown on institution's website.					

¹ The Evaluators should indicate changes needed to be made to the report before it can be sent back to the institution. For good reports (rated 'A'), these can be sent to the institution formally as a completed report. For average reports (rated 'B'), the evaluators should provide guidance on what needs to be done: such as providing more evidence in particular sections, clarifying some points, or some quick editing of the report. For poor reports (rated 'C'), the performance auditor may need to substantially revise the report, or, if too much time has passed, conduct the audit visit again and write the report.